Return to work after common mental disorders

Perspectives of workers, mental health professionals, occupational health professionals, general physicians and managers
Our research and development programme

IOSH, the Chartered body for safety and health professionals, is committed to evidence-based practice in workplace safety and health. We maintain a Research and Development Fund to support research, lead debate and inspire innovation as part of our work as a thought leader in safety and health.

In this document, you’ll find a summary of the independent study we commissioned from Tilburg University, entitled ‘Barriers to and facilitators of return to work after sick leave in workers with common mental disorders: perspectives of workers, mental health professionals, occupational health professionals, general physicians and managers’.

www.iosh.co.uk/getfunding
What’s the problem?
Employment has been shown to be beneficial for health, including for depression and general mental health. Common mental disorders (CMDs), such as depression, anxiety, adjustment disorders and stress-related complaints, are the most common cause of sickness absence and work disability. As such, they’re associated with high costs and pose a problem for society, employers and workers. At any moment, about 20 per cent of working-age people in OECD countries suffer from a mental health problem, which has a major impact in terms of reduced productivity at work, sickness absence and work disability.1 Also, CMDs negatively affect the quality of life of the worker, and prolonged absenteeism may put the worker at risk of becoming unemployed. So it’s important to understand if and how productivity loss in workers with CMDs can be reduced and preferably prevented.

The current body of knowledge on this important question has some limitations. As yet, a fair amount of intervention studies have been conducted aimed at speeding up the return to work (RTW) of this group of workers, but mostly without success. This underlines the importance of exploring the RTW process more closely, especially to find out which factors act as barriers to RTW and which act as facilitators. It’s also important to explore the issue from the perspective of key stakeholders.

So, we commissioned Dr Margot Joosen and her team at Tilburg University, The Netherlands, to investigate the following:
- What do mental health professionals, occupational health professionals, general practitioners and managers perceive as barriers to and facilitators of the RTW process for workers on sick leave with CMDs?
- What are the factors that lead to sickness absence, according to workers on sick leave with CMDs, and how do these differ between workers on short-, medium- and long-term sickness absence?
- What do workers on sick leave with CMDs perceive as RTW barriers and facilitators, and how do these differ between workers on short-, medium- and long-term sickness absence?

The research was conducted solely in the context of the Dutch social security system.

---

What did our researchers do?
The study used qualitative research techniques, which have a more exploratory nature and are helpful in complex situations where little is known about how and why things happen.

The research was carried out in two separate studies: a focus group study exploring the perspectives of four different stakeholder groups; and an interview study with a group of workers on sick leave with CMDs.

In the focus group study, the research team explored the perspectives of four categories of professionals who regularly encounter workers with CMDs and who are involved in their RTW. These were:
- mental health professionals
- occupational health professionals
- general practitioners
- managers.

In two-hour focus group meetings, the research team extensively explored the perspectives of these groups regarding barriers to and facilitators of work resumption in workers with CMDs. During the meetings, the stakeholders also discussed what they perceived to be their roles and responsibilities in the RTW process of workers on sick leave with CMDs.

With the interview part of the study, 34 workers with CMDs were extensively interviewed on what they perceived had led to their sickness absence, as well as the barriers to and facilitators of returning to work. The face-to-face interviews were usually held at the workers’ own homes, and they were interviewed twice to capture the nature of the RTW process.

A total of 68 extensive in-depth interviews were held with three sub-groups of workers: those with CMDs on short (up to 3 months), medium (between 3 to 6 months) and long-term sickness absence (6 months or more). The first interviews were conducted shortly after workers called in sick. The second interviews were conducted shortly after work resumed, or after 6 months if the worker was still on sick leave.

What did our researchers find out?

Focus groups on stakeholders’ perspectives
A key finding was that the views of different groups of stakeholder were very similar regarding the need for a swift RTW for workers with CMDs. However, this raises the question that if all stakeholders know what to do, then why are so many workers with CMDs on sick leave for a long time? This finding suggests that putting this knowledge into practice is difficult. From the list of RTW barriers and facilitators, five themes were identified as central to a successful RTW process according to the four stakeholder groups:
- workers’ motivation to return versus workers’ emotions, cognitions and coping
- the type of work one returns to, fulfilling and motivating activities
- a safe, welcoming and stigma-free work environment
- personalised RTW support
- collaboration between (health care) professionals.
Some differences between perspectives were also found, especially between managers and the other groups. For instance, managers didn’t speak about the effect of conflict between the worker and manager. Also, the health care professionals emphasised the importance of providing the worker with psycho-education – ie interventions to understand and cope with illness – although the managers did not mention this.

**Interviews with workers with CMDs**

Three conclusions emerged in what workers with CMDs saw as causes of their sickness absence:
- perceived high workload/work pressure was the primary cause
- mental health conditions were not regarded as the origin of their sickness absence (but rather as a consequence of it)
- in the group with long-term sickness absence, a recurrent theme was that they disliked the content of their work.

Regarding barriers and facilitators, workers with CMDs reported a number of factors influencing the RTW process. According to workers, central to a successful RTW was:
- gaining self-awareness and learning to set limits
- having a supportive and understanding manager
- regaining control by engaging in recovery-enhancing behaviour
- doing work one values.

A difference between workers on short- versus long-term sick leave was that the latter often indicated they did not enjoy their work content and work tasks any more and were less satisfied with their jobs. In contrast, workers on short-term sickness absence often valued their work content and had a pleasant working environment with an understanding manager. Another difference between short- and long-term absentees was that the former seemed to engage more in recovery-enhancing behaviour, eg keeping a daily structure and continuing to be active. Workers on long-term sick leave generally seemed to be more reactive as opposed to proactive, and to be more in need of professional support, especially to help them gain self-awareness about their own wishes and needs regarding work-related choices.

In conclusion, the results from both the stakeholder and interview study reveal a wide range of factors that, according to the four stakeholder groups and the workers themselves, have an impact on the onset of sickness absence and influence the RTW of workers with CMDs. The study findings suggest that the lack of self-evaluation plays a key role in these workers and that more focus on supporting these workers in gaining self-awareness and regaining control, discussing the value of work, and reducing work pressure may not only speed up RTW, but can also be important for the prevention of sick leave.
What does the research mean?
The following recommendations will help to incorporate the findings of this study into practice.

Improve managers’ knowledge and skills in guiding workers with CMDs
All three groups of health care professionals agreed on the importance of managers’ behaviour in the RTW process. The manager plays a crucial role, and so improving their knowledge and skills on how to support workers with mental health problems in the workplace is not only recommended after sick leave, but also before it to prevent sickness absence. Further research and resources can provide support to managers when carrying out their responsibilities.

Support workers in gaining self-awareness and regaining control
As an RTW intervention, it is key that workers are supported in gaining more self-awareness and insight into their personal needs and wishes. This support should:
- open their eyes to the fact that their way of dealing with their work situation is not helpful to themselves
- make them aware of their own work-related needs
- empower and support them in being more assertive and influential in adapting their work tasks where possible.

In addition, workers on long-term sick leave seemed to be more passive and reactive in their behaviour, which could hinder their RTW. Although no ‘one size fits all’ advice can be given, it’s important to keep in touch with workers and for occupational health professionals to be able to identify stagnation at an early stage so that they can intervene.

Personalise workers’ RTW support by focusing on their values, views and needs
A personalised approach by managers and (occupational) health care professionals – consisting of good communication and an assessment of what the worker’s values, views and needs are – is recommended. Based on this study, experiencing work pressure and a mismatch between the worker and the job itself are issues that can have an impact on the onset of sickness absence and RTW. The adverse effects of high work pressure should be taken seriously by managers, as this was seen as the main cause of sickness absence by almost all respondents. Managers and (occupational) health care professionals need to understand that each worker perceives concepts like ‘work pressure’ and ‘rest’ differently and has different work-related needs. Furthermore, the topic of value of work should be addressed more often in the work context and greater effort should be made to improve the fit between one’s job and personal strengths and interests.

Collaboration between professionals
The four stakeholder groups all mentioned good collaboration between different healthcare professionals as an important facilitator to returning to work. The list of barriers and facilitators that emerged from the stakeholder focus groups can provide input for developing checklists of topics to take into account when guiding RTW. It can be used for the development of tools for the different stakeholders.
Don’t forget...

The study also has limitations that should be taken into account. First, as a qualitative study, the main limitation of this research relates to generalisability. For example, in the interview study, more female workers and more (moderately) highly educated workers participated. The research also focused on the Dutch social security system exclusively; however, generalisability was not the purpose of the study. As with other qualitative studies, the aim here was to generate insight into a complex condition where little current evidence exists, and where more knowledge is urgently needed. The interview study approach provides a multifaceted picture that would not have been available using survey or other observational methods. Nevertheless, subsequent large-scale, quantitative studies are needed to confirm the exploratory findings of the present study.

Other IOSH resources

We have a range of resources on some of the topics covered in this research, including:
- Promoting mental health at work
- A healthy return – A good practice guide to rehabilitating people at work
- Occupational safety and health considerations of returning to work after cancer
- Working well – Guidance on promoting health and wellbeing at work
- Occupational Health toolkit
- Developing managers for engagement and wellbeing
- Occupational health management in the workplace
- Position statement on rehabilitation

² www.iosh.co.uk/Books-and-resources/Promoting-mental-health-at-work.aspx
³ www.iosh.co.uk/healthyreturn
⁴ www.iosh.co.uk/rtwcancer
⁵ www.iosh.co.uk/workingwell
⁶ www.ohtoolkit.co.uk
⁷ www.cipd.co.uk/knowledge/culture/well-being/developing-managers-report
⁸ www.iosh.co.uk/ohguide
⁹ www.iosh.co.uk/Books-and-resources/IOSH-rehabilitation-policy.aspx
Good practice in action – managing the return to work after CMDs

In this study, focus groups recommended what should be done to support workers with CMDs as part of the RTW process. These recommendations are shown in the table below.*

### Table 1 RTW facilitating factors, according to stakeholders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholders</th>
<th>Mental health professionals</th>
<th>Occupational health professionals</th>
<th>General practitioners</th>
<th>Managers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ways to support the worker</td>
<td>Pay attention to the worker: show appreciation of their condition</td>
<td>Pay attention to the worker: listen; show support; take their condition seriously</td>
<td>Pay attention to the worker: listen; show appreciation of their condition</td>
<td>Pay attention to the worker: listen; show recognition of their condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attention to both recovery of health and work</td>
<td>A caseworker/coach in the recovery and RTW process</td>
<td>A caseworker/coach in the recovery and RTW process</td>
<td>Provide structure and clarity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Early involvement of a psychologist</td>
<td>Provide perspective: take away fear; provide confidence in the future</td>
<td>Provide structure and clarity</td>
<td>Courses: assertiveness; development skills for the job</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medication in case of severe problems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Support from home</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Legal regulations, such as financial incentive to work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The full list of RTW barriers and facilitators can be downloaded from www.iosh.co.uk/rtwmentalhealthtables.
## Stakeholders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What the worker can do</th>
<th>Mental health professionals</th>
<th>Occupational health professionals</th>
<th>General practitioners</th>
<th>Managers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Keep in contact with work; know what to tell colleagues</td>
<td>- Keep in contact with work</td>
<td>- Learn from the crisis</td>
<td>- Build self-confidence</td>
<td>- Build self-confidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Build self-confidence; perspective; realise successes; resilience</td>
<td>- Learn from the crisis</td>
<td>- Build self-confidence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Keep a daily structure</td>
<td>- Undertake activities for daily structure and relaxation</td>
<td>- Recognise signals</td>
<td>- Take responsibility for recovery: arrange support; make choices</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Guard limits and regain/remain in control</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Self-reflection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Stakeholders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What the work environment can do</th>
<th>Mental health professionals</th>
<th>Occupational health professionals</th>
<th>General practitioners</th>
<th>Managers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Role of manager: be involved; create a safe culture; be proactive; focus on work</td>
<td>- Role of manager: recognise barriers</td>
<td>- Role of manager: understanding; focus on work; positive approach</td>
<td>- Role of manager: keep in contact; be open about signals; create a safe culture</td>
<td>- Role of the manager: keep in contact; be open about signals; create a safe culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Manage expectations about what the worker can and cannot do</td>
<td>- Provide worker with authority in making RTW decisions</td>
<td>- Provide worker with decision authority in the RTW process</td>
<td>- Provide worker with authority in making RTW decisions</td>
<td>- Provide worker with decision authority in the RTW process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Substitute work activities: creativity in work accommodations; discuss which tasks are still possible</td>
<td>- No pressure on the RTW</td>
<td>- No pressure on the return</td>
<td>- No pressure on the return</td>
<td>- No pressure on the return</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Occupational physician supports the worker</td>
<td>- Possibility to return without much job strain</td>
<td>- An active RTW policy</td>
<td>- An active RTW policy</td>
<td>- An active RTW policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Focus on the worker’s professional role</td>
<td>- Occupational physician supports the worker</td>
<td>- Evaluate the RTW process with the worker</td>
<td>- Evaluate the RTW process with the worker</td>
<td>- Evaluate the RTW process with the worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Support relationships with colleagues: involvement; team stability</td>
<td>- Positive contact between the worker, manager and colleagues: show interest; regular contact</td>
<td>- Positive contact between the worker, manager and colleagues: show interest; regular contact</td>
<td>- Positive contact between the worker, manager and colleagues: show interest; regular contact</td>
<td>- Positive contact between the worker, manager and colleagues: show interest; regular contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Pay attention to the person behind the worker</td>
<td>- Professional support in the RTW for the worker and manager, such as an occupational psychologist</td>
<td>- Develop a personalised RTW plan with the worker</td>
<td>- Develop a personalised RTW plan with the worker</td>
<td>- Develop a personalised RTW plan with the worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholders</td>
<td>Mental health professionals</td>
<td>Occupational health professionals</td>
<td>General practitioners</td>
<td>Managers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration between professionals</td>
<td>• Good collaboration</td>
<td>• Good collaboration</td>
<td>• General practitioner and occupational physician work together to support the worker</td>
<td>• Good relationship between occupational physician and manager • Worker provides permission for contact with treatment provider</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our review gives you all the major findings of the independent project report by Tilburg University. If you want to read about the study in more depth, you can download the full report from www.iosh.co.uk/rtwmentalhealth.
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